



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/614,036	07/08/2003	Akiya Saito	239871US6	6715	
22850	7590 01/31/2006		EXAM	INER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2131		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/614,036	SAITO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	

7.47.00.77.00.017	10/014,000	0,110 21,712.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a control of the cont	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,4,6,9 and 11-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	,		nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	\sim ()	
		PrimayExam	nines

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 3, the applicant argues that the cited prior art (CPA), Cok (U.S. Patent No. 6,865,550), does not teach "a starting program on the data recording medium, configured to cause a program of the plurality of programs, designated by the medium identification information, to automatically execute." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA contains has a medium which contains "instructions or transferred program for matching the identifiers" (column 5 line 38-43). This is viewed as the "starting program" since once the identifiers are matched, a program is started. Therefore, the rejection is maintained as given in the previous Office action.